1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE OPTICAL DISK DRIVE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE NO. 3:10-md-2143 RS

ORDER RE ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION (DKT. NO. 1050)

Civil Local Rule 7-3(a) requires that "[a]ny evidentiary and procedural objections to the motion must be contained within the brief or memorandum." As plaintiffs point out, "[p]arties ignore this Local Rule at their peril." In response to defendants' motions to strike, plaintiffs may briefly restate their objections that those motions violate this rule and the page limits imposed by stipulated order, and may offer concise responses to the substance of defendants' motions. Given that the motions to strike are effectively evidentiary objections and that ordinary briefing limits have already been extended to a generous degree, defendants are strongly discouraged, but not prohibited, from filing reply briefs in support of those motions. While the admissibility and weight of the evidence offered by plaintiffs will be evaluated carefully when deciding the class certification motions, the Court reserves the right to deny the motions to strike on procedural grounds.

## Case3:10-md-02143-RS Document1084 Filed12/20/13 Page2 of 2

## United States District Court For the Northern District of California

RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE